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PROJECT NAME

Street Address

City, State and Zip

webaddress.com

Version 0.0.0

00/00/0000

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PREPARED BY** |  | **DATE** |  |
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# PROJECT OWNERSHIP

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **PROJECT NAME** |  |
| **PROJECT OVERVIEW** |  |
| **PROJECT MANAGER NAME** |  |
| **CONTACT INFO** | **PHONE** |   |
| **EMAIL** |   |
| **MAILING ADDRESS** |   |
|
|

# PLAN VERSION

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **VERSION** | **DATE** | **AUTHOR** |
|   |   |   |
| **REASON** |
|   |
| **SECTIONS IMPACTED** |
| **SECTION TITLE** | **AMENDMENT** |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
| **DISTRIBUTION** |
| **DELIVERY METHOD** | **ISSUE DATE** | **ISSUED TO** |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|   |   |   |

# RISK MANAGEMENT PROCESS

Define process / approach.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

# RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS

## TOOLS

|  |
| --- |
|  |

## DATA

|  |
| --- |
|  |

## TEAM

|  |
| --- |
|  |

# ROLES & RESPONSIBILITIES

For each risk management plan activity, name parties responsible and define responsibilities.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

# FINANCIAL IMPACT

## ESTIMATED FUNDS REQUIRED & BUDGETARY IMPACT

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **ESTIMATE** | **ADDITIONAL COMMENTS** |
| **INITIAL FEES** | $ |   |
| **RECURRING FEES** | $ |
| **ASSUMPTIONS** | $  |
| **PRICING METHODOLOGY** |   |
| **BUDGET IMPACT** |  |

# TIMELINE IMPACT

Describe any impact to plan schedule. List any start / end dates affected.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

# RISK MONITORING

## REVIEWS OF RISKS & ISSUES *– Check for issues that may have escalated.*

|  |
| --- |
| **REVIEW FREQUENCY** |
|   |
| **PARTIES RESPONSIBLE FOR REVIEWING** |
|   |

## MONITORING

|  |
| --- |
| **REVIEW FREQUENCY** |
|   |
| **PARTIES RESPONSIBLE FOR REVIEWING** |
|   |

## REPORTING

|  |
| --- |
| **REVIEW FREQUENCY** |
|   |
| **PARTIES RESPONSIBLE FOR REVIEWING** |
|   |

# RISK CATEGORIES

Define grouping methodology / organization process of potential causes.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

# RISK ASSESSMENT MATRIX

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **RISK RATING KEY** | **LOW** | **MEDIUM** | **HIGH** | **EXTREME** |
|  | **0****ACCEPTABLE** | **1****ALARP *as low as reasonably practicable*** | **2****GENERALLY UNACCEPTABLE** | **3****INTOLERABLE** |
|  | **OK TO PROCEED** | **TAKE MITIGATION EFFORTS** | **SEEK SUPPORT** | **PLACE EVENT ON HOLD** |
|  | **S E V E R I T Y** |
| **ACCEPTABLE** | **TOLERABLE** | **UNDESIRABLE** | **INTOLERABLE** |
| **LITTLE TO NO EFFECT** **ON EVENT** | **EFFECTS ARE FELT, BUT NOT CRITICAL TO OUTCOME** | **SERIOUS IMPACT TO COURSE OF ACTION AND OUTCOME** | **COULD RESULT IN DISASTER** |
| **L I K E L I H O O D** | **IMPROBABLE** | **LOW** | **MEDIUM** | **MEDIUM** | **HIGH** |
| **RISK IS UNLIKELY TO OCCUR** | **– 1 –** | **– 4 –** | **– 6 –** | **– 10 –** |
| **POSSIBLE** | **LOW** | **MEDIUM** | **HIGH** | **EXTREME** |
| **RISK WILL LIKELY OCCUR** | **– 2 –** | **– 5 –** | **– 8 –** | **– 11 –** |
| **PROBABLE** | **MEDIUM** | **HIGH** | **HIGH** | **EXTREME** |
| **RISK WILL OCCUR** | **– 3 –** | **– 7 –** | **– 9 –** | **– 12 –** |

# MITIGATION GRADING MATRIX

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **RISK MATRIX** |  |
|   | **SECTIONS IMPACTED** |  |
| **LOW** | **MEDIUM** | **HIGH** | **EXTREME** |  |
| **LIKELIHOOD** | **LOW** | **N** | **D** | **C** | **A** |  |
| **MEDIUM** | **D** | **C** | **B** | **A** |  |
| **HIGH** | **C** | **B** | **A** | **A** |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **RISK MITIGATION BASED UPON GRADE** |
| **GRADE** | **POSSIBLE ACTION** |
| **A** | As a priority, mitigation actions reducing both likelihood and seriousness are to be identified and implemented at start of project.  |
| **B** | Mitigation actions reducing both likelihood and seriousness are to be identified and implemented throughout course of project.  |
| **C** | Mitigation actions reducing both likelihood and seriousness are to be identified and costed for possible action should funds permit execution. |
| **D** | Risk to be noted: No action is required unless grading increases over time. |
| **N** | Risk to be noted: No action is required unless grading increases over time. |

# STAKEHOLDER TOLERANCES

Define time and limitations of cost contingency reserves.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

# ATTACHMENTS

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **FILE / DOCUMENT NAME** | **FORMAT** | **DESCRIPTION** |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|   |   |   |

|  |
| --- |
| **DISCLAIMER**Any articles, templates, or information provided by Smartsheet on the website are for reference only. While we strive to keep the information up to date and correct, we make no representations or warranties of any kind, express or implied, about the completeness, accuracy, reliability, suitability, or availability with respect to the website or the information, articles, templates, or related graphics contained on the website. Any reliance you place on such information is therefore strictly at your own risk. |